# International Harmonized Research Activities

March, 2001

IHRA Steering Committee
Presentation to

**WP-29** 

### Purpose of Briefing



#### Address the Following Questions:

- . What Is IHRA?
- . How Is IHRA Organized?
- . What Countries Are Involved in IHRA?
- . What Issues Are Being Addressed by IHRA?
- . How Do I Find Out More About IHRA?
- . What Is the Future of IHRA?

#### IHRA



 International Harmonized Research Activities

An Inter-Governmental Initiative Which Aims to Facilitate Greater Harmony of Vehicle Safety Policies Through Multi-National Collaboration in Research.

## International Harmonized Research Activities



- Genesis Dr. Martinez WP.29 Speech in November 1995
- Reality-15th Enhanced Safety Of Vehicles
   Conference in 1996
- Developed by ESV Government Focal Points
- Address Some of the Major Issues of Highway Safety By Harmonizing Research Activities
- Initial Period: 5 Years

# What is the ESV Conference?

- Conference Sponsored by Governments
- Initially a Forum to Share Research Findings From Experimental Safety Vehicle Programs
- Currently a Technical Conference on Vehicle Traffic Safety
- Opportunity for International Sharing of Information and Issues
- Recognizing Contributions to the Field of Highway Traffic Safety

### IHRA Organization



#### Steering Committee

- Government Representatives
- Chaired by NHTSA

#### Working Groups

 Government and Industry Representatives Participate

# IHRA Industry Participation



- Each Working Group Has 3 Regional Industry Representatives
- Regional Locations Represented
  - Asia/Pacific
  - Europe
  - . North America
- Selected by OICA
- At Chairman's Discretion Other Experts Invited As Necessary

# IHRA Steering Committee Members



<u> Australia</u> - Keith Seyer

Canada - Brian Jonah

EC - Per-Ove Engelbrecht

France - Bernard Gauvin

Germany - K-L Lenz

**EEVC** - Bernd Friedel

Hungary - Sandor Szabo

<u>Italy</u> - Claudio Lomonaco

Japan - Masahiko Naito

Netherlands - Gerard Meekel

Poland - Wojciech Przybylski

<u>Sweden</u> - Anders Lie

<u>U.K.</u> - John Jeyes

<u>U.S.</u> - Ray Owings

# IHRA Organization of Working Groups



#### **IHRA WG**

Lead Country

Biomechanics U.S.

Frontal Impact EEVC/Italy

Compatibility EEVC/U.K.

ITS Canada

Pedestrian Japan

Side Impact

Australia

### Pedestrian Safety WG Goals



- Based on Real World Crashes
- Component Test Procedure Approach
- Pedestrian Protection Test Procedure
- Windshield Contact

### Pedestrian Safety WG Progress



- Consolidated Data Set
  - Identification of Impact Points and Velocity
- Simulation Head Orientation
  - Assumptions & Outstanding Issues Under Discussion
- Head Test Procedure Adult/child
  - . HIC Based
- Leg Test Procedures
  - Development of harmonized leg protection test procedure by 2001 unlikely

### Biomechanics WG Objectives



#### Side Impact Initiative

- World-Wide Side Impact Crash Problem
- World-Wide Anthropometric Characterization of Crash Victims for Dummy Definition (WorldSID coordination)
- Develop Biofidelic Impact Response Specifications for Dummy
- Develop Crash Test Dummy Evaluation Methodologies
- Identify Meaningful Injury Criteria and Performance Limits

#### Frontal Impact Initiative

Repeat Side Impact Tasks for Frontal Impact Situation

### Biomechanics WG Progress



#### Side Impact Initiative:

Significant Progress in Quantifying World-Wide Side-Impact Problem, Anthropometric Definitions of Crash Victims, Impact Requirements for Anthropomorphic Test Devices, and Identifying Meaningful Injury Criteria and Performance Limits.

# Advanced Frontal Impact WG - Objectives



Develop Internationally Agreed
 Upon Test Procedures Designed to Improve Occupant Protection in Frontal Crashes

# Advanced Frontal Impact WG- Progress



- Two-stage Approach Has Been Adopted.
- First Stage Consider Existing Test Procedures for Frontal Crash Protection
  - Offset Deformable Barrier Test to Assess Occupant Protection in a Crash Environment Associated With Occupant Compartment Intrusion
  - Full Width Wall Test to Assess Occupant Protection in a Crash Environment Associated With High Deceleration
- Second Stage Continue Development of a Test Procedure That Accounts for Mass and Impact Angle Effects Along With Compartment Intrusion and Crash Pulse Severity
  - Discussion Points Include Vehicle Categories, Type of Barrier, Impact Speed, Performance Criteria, Air Bag Performance, Impact Angle, and the use of a Trolley

# Vehicle Compatibility WG - Objective



Improve Occupant Protection by Developing Internationally Agreed Upon Test Procedures Designed to Improve the Compatibility of Light Vehicle Structures in Front-to-front and Front-to-side Impacts

# Vehicle Compatibility WG - Progress



- Enhanced Prospects for Improved Frontal Evaluation Procedures.
- Agreed Upon Relevant Aspects Include:
  - Good Structural Interaction
  - Maintaining Occupant Compartment Integrity
  - Predictable Structural Performance
  - Controlling Deceleration Time Histories

## Vehicle Compatibility WG - Progress (continued)



#### Candidate Test Procedures Include

- Full Frontal Barrier Test With Load Cells (Rigid Wall With or Without a Thin Deformable Element)
- Offset Deformable Barrier (ODB) Test With Load Cells
- Overload Test (Passenger Compartment Integrity)
   Using ODB
- Barrier Elements to Explore Shear (E.G., The Progressive Deformable Barrier)
- Moving Deformable Barrier Test With Load Cells

### Side Impact WG - Objective



- Review Real World Crash Data
- Coordinate Research Worldwide
- Support Development of Future Test
   Devices and Test Procedures Including
   Full System and Component Tests
- Interact With Other WGs
- Enhance Side Crash Safety
- Form Consensus on Scientific Research Findings

### Side Impact WG - Progress



- Reviewed Worldwide Safety Problem
- Worked closely with WorldSID/Bio WG
- Agreed on Need for :
  - Pole Test
  - . MDB
  - Out-of-position Testing (Side Airbags)
  - Interior Head Impact Tests
- Specific Test Conditions Under Discussion

# Intelligent Transportation System WG - Objective



- Promote Opportunities for International Research Coordination
  - Driver Workload
  - Direct Safety Benefits (Crash Avoidance)
  - Behavioral Adaptation
  - System Usability

#### ITS Focus Areas



- Harmonized Safety Evaluation Methodology
- Effects of False Expectation on Driver Performance
- Human Factors Principles for In-vehicle Systems
- Naturalistic Driving Behavior
- Simulator Reference Test Scenarios
- Secondary Task Methodology for Evaluating Safety Effects of Driver Workload
- Validation of Surrogate Safety Measures
- Driver Learning, Retention, and Acceptance of New ITS Systems

### IHRA Reporting



#### ■ 17<sup>th</sup> ESV Conference - Amsterdam

- June 4-7, 2001
- 5 Year Report from 6 Working Groups
- Decision on Future of IHRA

#### After the 17th ESV Conference

 Lead Country for Each Working Group Will Coordinate With Appropriate GR to Present the Results of IHRA

### Proposed Presentation

Gear







Biomechanics Frontal Side Compatibility Pedestrian ITS

#### Final Comments



- IHRA Steering Committee Would Like to Thank WP.29 for This Opportunity
- Future Activities of IHRA Beyond June Are Still Under Discussion
- We Would Appreciate Your Written Comments - Send To:
  - IHRA Secretariat
  - John.Hinch@nhtsa.dot.gov